

## **Appendix B**

Response to Statutory Consultation

Cedar Avenue, Hazlemere

Do you support the proposals	Does this relate to the overall scheme or a specific location?	Comments
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	It would cause chaos for the residents. We should be able to park outside our own houses.
No	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Fox Field	No Comments
No – Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane & Roberts Ride  Yes - Shepherds Lane & Fox Field	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	People will then start parking more on the 1st entrance to Marys Mead which is already dangerous as people park here to go to the doctors and shops when no parking available. I have seen many near accidents as people drive up Cedar Avenue and go to turn into Marys Mead and have been misses as car/vans parked on the corner. Including nearly being hit ourselves. I believe it should be permit holders only in Marys Mead as it is bad with parking as emergency services have trouble getting through also. Where do you suggest patient's park who go to the doctors?
No	AG54 - Upper Lodge Lane with Cedar Avenue/ Brimmers Hill	We use the road to drop off and pick up our children at school in that area. It wouldn't be suitable with the double yellow line
No	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Fox Field	By creating yellow lines on the junction you will just be moving the traffic up the road. This will therefore stop people parking at their house as the number of cars will increase. There are only 4 houses in the road and have been no problems with parking in all XX years I have lived here.
No	AG 54 - Cedar Avenue with Roberts Ride	Currently with cars parked along the curb, it acts as a natural means to slow the traffic on the road. If the area has double yellow lines installed my concern is that traffic will be free to travel at the speed limit: 30mph or faster, as some people do, potentially making the road less safe. Residents with double yellow lines outside their houses will be forced to make provisions for parking on their property. For many this will mean removing greenery from their gardens and replacing it with hardstanding which will have a detrimental effect on local wildlife. In my opinion rather than double yellow lines, a zebra crossing at the top of cedar avenue (between Roberts Ride and Upper Lodge Lane) and a second zebra crossing near the entrance to cedar park school would slow traffic and provide safer places to cross the road whilst still maintaining parking for local residents and parents dropping/collecting children from schools.
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I have lived on Cedar Avenue for XX years. Neighbours have always dealt quite well with parking and we are a friendly community. The restrictions that are proposed will cause much friction as there will not be nearly enough parking spaces for residents. Cars will be parked on the opposite side of the road than usual and we will have to park on neighbouring streets or further down Cedar Avenue causing even more agitation. Just

		<p>where exactly do you propose for the existing residents to park, let alone any visitors or service people? Most houses already use their front garden for parking. I'm not sure why this has come about but if it relates to the school, then yes it is annoying when parents chose to park so that it is difficult for us to get in/out of our drives but we've always dealt with this in the past and it is not bad enough to warrant this action. Also really who is going to monitor people parking on the restricted areas. The area you propose is huge and will cause so much disruption and distress. The one area that allows parking across from the school entrance outside of the hours 8am-5pm is the most dangerous area on the road since it's on a bend. Remember I have lived here for XX years, use the road every day and I seriously cannot understand your reasoning on this. We are also friends with neighbours on other roads and do not want to fall out with them because their roads are clogged with our cars and the increased difficulty of emergency vehicles accessing their areas. We also wonder if this has anything to do with the one bus that comes down Cedar Avenue. Why does it have to come down when it is not a main road and there are so few people that actually use this bus. My daughter used this bus while in her second year of sixth form at Beaconsfield High School and it did save her a walk up to Rose Avenue where the bus did it's loop but we can see how many people use this service and it does not warrant the disruption that will be caused by your proposals.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No Feedback was left in this response	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane	No Comments
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I do not think this is going to achieve the stated safety objectives - particularly at school drop off and pick up times [the only times parking is really an issue]. This is because of the transitory nature of parking at these times - quick drop offs in the morning - and waiting to collect in the afternoon. Unless a traffic warden will be present at all yellow line sections each and every day for ever - which they won't - then people will simply ignore the yellow lines and drop off kids as before. And keep an eye out in their cars for traffic wardens while they wait. If one shows up - they will just drive round the block. So - it's unlikely to have much impact at school times - but will impact adversely parking for residents at all other times. If people can't park near their house - they will just transfer further down the road - increasing parking congestion there - and in more unfavourable locations - such hilltop section near Mary's Mead. It is also likely to encourage further hard landscaping of front lawns to park cars - which impacts the look of the area and drainage.</p>

No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	I believe that the parking issues on the road extend beyond that caused by the school, shared driveways, limited garage space and multiple cars per residence. I would suggest that the problem will only be pushed further onto other areas. It disappoints me that no one considers helping the school do its bit to help, monitor parking or fund the creation of more parks on the school site to help alleviate some aspects of the parking issues after school hours and when the pool is in use.
No	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Fox Field	I am a resident of Foxfield which has four houses in and parking is very limited and this is an estate with families on that have grown and now have more than one vehicle in so sometimes parking on the road is the only option. If you put parking restrictions on the four house road it will have an impact on where we can park as it will push us to park on other areas of the estate. If this is concerning the local school then traffic only builds up twice a day and does not cause any issues for residents but by putting parking restrictions in with no thought for the local community is not acceptable and consideration should be given to residents of the road affected.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	I'm writing to oppose the decision to put double yellow lines down cedar avenue. Whilst I understand that there is a need to address the cars parked on cedar avenue I don't believe that this is the way to go about it. I agree that double yellow lines should be put in place on the corner opposite the bus stop, as many dog walkers and parents park there obscuring the view round the corner. I fear that double yellow lines all the way down on one side of cedar avenue will actually increase the speed of cars travelling on it. In addition, many houses on cedar avenue close to the school have shared driveways and would require them to park opposite their house on a daily basis. Would the use of double yellow lines actually be enforced? A patrol to enforce the Highway Code (i.e. not parking on bends/junctions etc.) with enforceable fines maybe enough to deter parents parking irresponsibly. At the very least can the parking restrictions be reduced to 8-10 and 2-4 so it doesn't impact and penalise the residents on cedar avenue?
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I write to object in respect to the proposed order to introduce sections of "no waiting at any time" double yellow lines for the following reasons:- Proposed no waiting area is excessive; Restricted parking throughout the whole of the proposed area does not meet the objectives of avoiding danger or reducing congestion; Parking on a steep hill nearby could be dangerous if a hand brake failed; Parking availability has already been exacerbated by the introduction of additional services at Cedar Park school without taking into account of the effect on local residents and danger to other persons or the creation of parking at the school; The implementation of the order will

		<p>encourage more traffic in to the area to drive around looking for parking, in conflict with local Authority objective to reduce pollution. Existing grass verges could be removed on both sides of the road to create parking improve the passage of traffic in line with the requirements of the Highway Code; Restricting parking will significantly reduce availability already too short on street parking availability for residents, causing residents to have to walk further, an inconvenience especially to parents of young children and older persons Parked vehicles act as a traffic calming measure. Reduction in available parking will encourage people to park on pavements in front of drives and on grass verges just outside of proposed no waiting area Further reduction in parking availability will prohibit residents parking near their homes, this has already been effected by the historic shared driveway arrangements, and may lead to damage/vandalism being caused to vehicles as them become susceptible to target by thieves. The cost of dropping kerbs and the creation of off street parking adjoining family homes is prohibitive Parking arrangements are managed perfectly well by local residents.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>As a resident of cedar avenue this would affect me and my family greatly. I believe this would cause chaos alone for the families that live down cedar avenue. Where are they supposed to park. A lot of us have shared driveways so this will cause problems for all of us going forward. We would all have to have our front gardens dug up and have concrete drive ways so we could get our cars parked. This will devalue our houses and make the fronts of the houses look awful. Even then this would still not allow everyone to get parked and if anyone wanted to visit they would have nowhere to park. The road would become a raceway for drivers who will know that no parking is allowed and this in turn will be dangerous for the many families with young children and indeed the school. The only time really that this road is busy is in the morning and picking up time for the school. I myself don't have any issues with people parking down the road at these times but if anything should be done it should be a responsibility of the school to sort out.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I do not support the proposal due to the following reasons - the statement of reasons cites avoiding danger to persons or other traffic as a reason for the proposal. However if parking is restricted I believe that it will actually enable drivers to drive faster through the impacted roads. It will also not reduce the need for parents of children at Cedar Park school to park their cars. I believe it will just push the issue into other side roads. Many residents in the area do not have sufficient driveway space for more than one vehicle, with many families requiring multiple cars. Therefore residents will be negatively impacted, with many being punished for the actions of a few. If they chose to create hard standing outside their properties there is</p>

		<p>the potential for environmental impact due to draining issues. It will also negatively impact the aesthetics of the area. This proposal does not feel an appropriate response to concerns regarding appropriate parking in the area. I feel an alternative proposal to monitor/report/manage unsafe parking would be a more appropriate response.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I'm a resident that has lived on Cedar Avenue since XXXX and am against the proposed parking restrictions as currently proposed. My house is situated on the South-side (school-side) of the road, about XX meters from Cedar Park School gate, so the proposals will have a direct and detrimental effect on us. Currently, three adults live at my property and all are car owners. Fortunately, one car is small enough to fit in the garage, the second is parked on the drive in-front of the house but, as we have a shared drive and this must be kept clear, the third can only be parked on the roadside. Some of our neighbours are in a similar situation, so clearly residents and their visitors would be forced to park on the unrestricted side of the road. Parking space would quickly be taken and so preventing safe stopping or parking at school times. The inevitable consequence of this will be that school traffic will stop/park illegally on the restricted side, thereby negating any possible benefit during these periods and resulting in possible conflicts between residents and school users. We also very much doubt that long-term funding will be available to 'police' any restrictions, so it will only be residents (on both sides of the road) who will be inconvenienced. Even if illegal parent parking does not take place, a certain consequence of the proposed restrictions will be traffic speeding along Cedar Avenue between the junction at Brimmers Hill and The Warren. Currently, congestion during school drop-off/pick-up times does at least naturally slow the traffic. Cedar Avenue is a well-known short-cut to the shops at the bottom of Cedar Avenue and, at busy times, for through traffic to and from Hazlemere roundabout. At weekends, when fewer cars are parked on the roadside, speeding is a common occurrence through this section. The expected additional available road space envisaged will encourage even more through traffic, which will be travelling much faster and so create a very dangerous situation for parents and children trying to cross the road near or opposite the school gate. As can be seen from the consultation plans, the school entrance is situated on a bend and crossing the road to enter the school at this point is extremely dangerous as it is a blind bend. The combination of a restricted view caused by parked cars and an increase to traffic speed will, in our view, inevitably result in an increase in the possibility of a collision between a vehicle and those accessing the school. There is, however, little doubt that the morning and afternoon school traffic does create congestion which can be hazardous to</p>

		<p>pedestrians, residents and other road users. Double-parking during this period creates a tunnel effect which can make navigating this section of the road quite difficult. Unfortunately, there is little evidence that the school has made any effort to find a solution to inconsiderate parking and, indeed, school employees regularly park on this section of Cedar Avenue. The proposal allows parking only on the opposite side of the road to Cedar Park School. If passed, it will result in those children that are being driven to school having to cross a busy and dangerous road (reasons explained above). Cedar Park caters for children from nursery to primary school age, so those attending are very young and cannot be relied upon to always cross the road in accordance with the Highway Code! Indeed, parents often also have younger siblings to contend with, and so forcing them all to cross the road seems particularly illogical. Surely, any parking restrictions should be tailored so that all children safely exit the car on the same side as the school? To alleviate the parking problems, we consider a case could be made for targeted restrictions on the school side of the road from, say, Monday to Friday between 0800-0930hrs and 1500-1730hrs in order to stop double-parking during these busy periods. This would improve both safety for all road users and access for emergency vehicles. In addition, it would allow all residents of Cedar Avenue to have the same parking availability outside of the problem school periods. It would also require a 20mph speed limit zone to be introduced between Brimmers Hill and at least Fox Field (why is it not so already?) In summary, we are firmly against the proposal as published as, for the reasons mentioned above, we believe that unintended consequences will result in a more dangerous road for not just school children, but all users.</p>
<p>No – Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field &amp; The Warren) &amp; Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane &amp; Roberts Ride</p> <p>Yes - Shepherds Lane &amp; Fox Field</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p><b>Cedar Avenue</b> - The proposal for double yellow lines in this area may prevent parking issues during school drop off and pick up but outside those times and school holidays and weekends we (the residents) will suffer a lot more than the school parents as we frequently have visitors or colleagues that need to park outside our houses and that is why the scheme is being opposed. The actions of a few always spoil things for a lot of people. A single yellow line with an hour morning and afternoon would be an idea as long as its Monday to Friday during time term otherwise it won't work.</p> <p><b>Upper Lodge Lane</b> - No I don't support this either for the same reason as above and more so as it's outside my house. The drives here are not big enough for more than one car so we need the road to park on.</p> <p><b>Shepherds Lane &amp; Fox Field</b> - only on the corners to prevent dangerous parking</p>
<p>No – Cedar Avenue</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall</p>	<p>Cedar Avenue - Agree to no waiting area opposite</p>

<p>(Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field &amp; The Warren) &amp; Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride &amp; Fox Field</p> <p>Yes - Shepherds Lane</p>	<p>Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p>Cedar Park school, as for the rest of the area it seems an overkill for what is a short term problem morning and afternoon and could make parking for local residents difficult especially those with shared driveways. I don't think parking restrictions will solve this problem as people will move further down the road for parking. It may be worth consulting with the school to see if they could provide parking for those attending swimming classes that take place all day every day.</p> <p>Shepherds Lane - People do park on the pavement in this area</p>
<p>No</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p>There are only issues during the school drop off and pick up times. I strongly feel that any restrictions should only be during these times. To put up permanent restrictions will only penalise the residents further.</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p>The area outside the school is a nightmare due to inconsiderate parking. I would welcome the plans as a way to improve safety.</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p><b>Cedar Avenue</b> - Whilst I currently take advantage of the freely road parking near the school twice a week (I do the school run on the way home from work), I can appreciate that the congestion caused by the parking is not safe for children crossing the road, nor is it at all convenient for the residents or safe for emergency vehicles to get through. We also have this problem on a number of other roads nearby, though they don't affect the school. I would be prepared to adapt my current approach in the light of the new proposal.</p> <p><b>Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane</b> - I haven't noticed such severe issues around this area, but it is always dangerous whenever anyone parks near a junction due to visibility problems pulling out...so this would help minimise that problem.</p> <p><b>Cedar Avenue with Roberts Ride</b> - Parking restrictions near a junction can only be a good thing and improve visibility.</p> <p><b>Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane</b> - This is particularly congested at the moment, so the new restrictions should make a big difference to the residents here.</p> <p><b>Cedar Avenue with Fox Field</b> - Again, I support any restrictions around a junction for improved visibility and safety. I haven't noticed bad congestion here, but I'm sure it'll still have a positive impact on residents.</p>
<p>No</p>	<p>AG54 &amp; AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field &amp; The Warren)</p>	<p>It will ruin the area and make it a speedy cut through. Also difficult for locals to park.</p>
<p>No</p>	<p>AG 54 &amp; AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p>The introduction of a no stop zone in this area is not required. Outside of the usual school commute hours the traffic and parking is not of significant concern and the introduction of parking restrictions will have a negative effect on the local community.</p>

No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I don't think it would be the most effective way to improve safety of people. A safe crossing point and traffic calming would be better ways to achieve this
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The plans for yellow lines are totally unacceptable. We as residents need to be able to park outside our own homes. We live right by the school & there are no problems with the way things are now.
Yes	AG54 - Upper Lodge Lane with Cedar Avenue/ Brimmers Hill	I do agree in principle to double yellows at the top of the road where it meets cedar avenue due to people's atrocious and dangerous parking especially during school drop off and collection times however it will just push school traffic to park further down Upper Lodge Lane. I propose double yellows as you suggest then no stopping or waiting signs during the hours of '8.30am - 9am' and '3pm-3.30pm' Monday - Friday for the rest of the road.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	People already drive down this road fast, it will make it extremely dangerous for school children if these lines are implemented as people will continue to drive fast, and more children will be walking.
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	With my little children going to both Cedar Park and Widmer End School, it is unavoidable for us to use the car for school runs every day since we live on the other side of Hazlemere crossroad and it is too dangerous for young children to walk along with traffic on Holmer Green Road. We may not be living in the immediate neighbourhood of Cedar Estate, but we consider ourselves as the members of it for we are the proud members of its community schools. As a parent, I pay a lot of attention on the safety of school environment and absolutely agree that all the street should be clear for the entry of emergency vehicles. But I argue double yellow lines are not the best solutions and I would like to propose the alternatives for the benefit of all the community members. First, mark 'white bay lines' on the pavements on both sides of the streets near the schools accompanied by 'parking in marked areas' signs. This will be able to create enough space for the emergency vehicles to drive through and also provide some convenience to the school members during approx. 15 minutes, twice a day school runs. I would like to stress all the streets mentioned in the original proposal have much wider than average pavements. It is almost impossible for partial pavement parking to cause any obstruction to pedestrians. This will also encourage the residents to remove the obstacles on grass verges that have almost caused accidents to me and my children twice. I have the experience of slamming on the break to avoid a big log in the middle of Cedar Avenue that rolled off grass verge for unknown reason. My child had also been tripped over by the rock on grass verge while crossing the street. Second, if the community sincerely care for the safety of children and appreciate perhaps the materialistic value that these two ofsted-rated-good schools add to itself, spending money on a zebra crossing with

		pedestrian lights in front of the school makes so much more sense than double yellow lines. I am certain none of the residents or school parents would like to see traffic piled up near school twice a day in order to let children in and out of vehicles due to lack of parking. This may even prolong school runs and deter school hours. Double yellow lines are to divide the community for the imaginary interest of minority. I would like the council seriously consider my proposals for greater benefit of Hazlemere.
No	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Fox Field	Putting double yellow lines for most of this road will not allow us to park outside our own house - our car and van have been broken in to twice whilst parked further up the road in front of our garage so we now want it to be in view from our house, with putting double yellow lines all the way up this is stopping this. It also means that other roads in the area will become clogged up during school times as the parents need to park somewhere to drop their children off! The proposal would also mean there is nowhere for guests to park if they ever require to visit.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	As a long term resident in Cedar Avenue I am pleased to see that something is being done to try and rectify the parking issues that can arise in the area close to the Cedar Park school. Given that the restrictions only apply to streets close to the school can it be assumed that the problems are as a result of “the school run”? Issues only seem to arise when children are being dropped off or picked up. Why should it be necessary for double yellow lines to be in place on a 24 hours a day, seven days a week and not just at school related times? What consideration has been given to the impact on residential parking as not all properties have sufficient off-street parking to cater for family or visitor vehicles? Will parking on the grass verges be prohibited? How strictly will the restrictions be policed? This is extremely important if the restrictions are to be effective.
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane & Roberts Ride & Fox Field  Yes – Shepherds Lane	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<b>Cedar Avenue, Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride &amp; Fox Field</b> - This will cause problems for anyone dropping off children at the school en route to work, those with shared driveways (many do) and two vehicles or more per household. Residents who have visitors. It could pitch neighbour against neighbour and will simply push those vehicles into the other neighbouring roads...such as mine in Maurice Mount. I see no reason for such measures in a residential area with no amenities such as shopping centres or access to railway station, etc. <b>Shepherds Lane</b> - This is the only area where I feel this could be justified BUT I would propose a parking restriction to say, NO ROADSIDE PARKING between 8am and 9-30am and 2-45pm and 4pm. This would prevent the poor parking on the bend at school drop off and pick up.
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads	<b>Cedar Avenue, Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride &amp; Fox Field</b> - Restrictions on these roads would cause

point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane & Roberts Ride & Fox Field  Yes – Shepherds Lane	Affected)	problems on other roads nearby. <b>Shepherds Lane</b> - People park very dangerously on this road
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	This all seems a bit too much, what will residents do for guest and visitor parking? It will just encourage more people to pave front gardens for parking adding loss of greenery and additional rain water problems. A much simpler solution would be to ban private transport to all Bucks schools.
Yes	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The safety of the residents at busy times will be improved by the proposal for no waiting zones. The local residents who will be affected by the lines outside the house will be able to park on their drives and will be safer for them to pull in /out of their drives with only 1 side of the road being used
Yes	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	In respect of the restrictions on cedar avenue where the plan shows 'no waiting except buses' I feel the restriction should also be the other side of the road on the bend. Taking into consideration there have been 3 head on collisions in the last 2.5 years due to parked cars on that bend as you cannot see oncoming traffic whichever direction you are travelling from. The parking for after school activities goes on until at least 6.00 pm. The only reservation I have is resident's visitors may be penalised. Let's hope something will be done, it will be nice to get in and out of our drive without having school traffic blocking our way.
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Fox Field  Yes – Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride & Shepherds Lane	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<b>Cedar Avenue &amp; Fox Field</b> - Where do visitors to the households concerned have to go to park their cars, especially over the weekends - no parking/waiting should be limited to Mon to Fri (8:00 to 10.00 and again from 14:00 to 16:00 and not at weekends. Residents are being made to pay for the actions of non-locals in dropping off and picking up school children - i.e. no parking for visitors to residents over the weekends <b>Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride &amp; Shepherds Lane</b> - With provisions as above - safety is paramount
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The drives are shared drives, it wouldn't solve a problem just move it further down the road.
No Feedback was left in this response	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	I'm concerned about cars driving too fast down this road (and the safety of our children), the pressure it will put on surrounding road.
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads	No Comments

	Affected)	
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	Parking restrictions will not help but only force people to park further down cedar avenue and in surrounding roads. The parking restrictions will increase the speed of traffic resulting in an increase in accidents and endangering children and pedestrians. Due to when the houses were built, insufficient parking spaces were not accounted for compared to the current times we live in. There are on average 2 or more cars in most houses along cedar avenue and therefore require the rd for additional parking. It cannot be expected for all to create parking areas on the front gardens due to parking restrictions.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	1. THE PARKING OVERLOAD problem WILL BE PUSHED DOWN TO MY HOUSE. 2. WE HAVE A VERY NARROW SHARED DRIVEWAY AND INADEQUATE GARAGE, SO REALLY NEED OUR CURRENT OFF ROAD PARKING SPOT WHICH WILL NOW BE TAKEN UP BY SCHOOL AND SWIMMING CLUB TRAFFIC. We will struggle to find parking near our house. 3. NOT HAVING off road parking will affect house prices. 4. I will have to change my working hours so that I can allow an extra 10 mins to walk my children up to school. I will have to lose 30 minutes in the morning and 30 minutes in the afternoon, essentially a whole hour's wages every day. This is a lot when you are part time. 5. The schools long driveway and double point of access makes a quick drop off unsafe for children. 6. The cars will speed around Cedar Ave if the road is unobstructed. 7. We might have to consider bricking over our while front driveway. If everyone does that the houses will look terrible and it's not very environmentally friendly. Why doesn't the school offered staggered drop off, allowing the children to go into their class rooms/ the hall/ the library from 8h30 to 8h50? This will spread the morning traffic a little more.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	There are many reasons why this is it a good idea. Foremost making Cedar Avenue a race track and more unsafe as cars would now not have to slow at all for parked cars as they do now. Devaluation of property, hard standing being expensive, not wanted and not good for our environment among other things!
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane & Roberts Ride & Fox Field  Yes – Shepherds Lane	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<b>Cedar Avenue, Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride &amp; Fox Field</b> - Double yellow lines will mean residents can't even park on the road and will cause friction with those who reside on the roads in question as they will be jostling for limited spaces. - No parking on the road will create a clear run for drivers to go dangerously fast on a school road, the current cars parking means that road users have to drive at a safe speed to pull in and out for others. - The school run will always exist and restrictions on all the roads in question will just put the pressure elsewhere on roads such as Mary's Mead, Cope Shroves, Lower Lodge Lane and Barn Lane. - Why police can't just patrol the roads for a little while and

		<p>Speak to the offenders who park badly/dangerous/inconsiderately and they be told that if they are seen parking in such a way again their car will be clamped, would quickly solve the problem</p> <p><b>Shepherds Lane</b> - The junction here can be dangerous at times and in my opinion is the only area where a restriction may need to be put in place.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	This will cause huge inconvenience to local residents. It should be permit parking with residents able to get a permit to park outside their house
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I don't support the plans for the below reasons:</p> <p>Neighbourly relations: Most people on Cedar Avenue have shared drives. I have a great relationship with my neighbours but we have 5 cars between us. Constantly knocking on each other's doors to ask them to move their cars is likely to cause tension. Imagine how stressful this must be for people who already have fraught relations with their neighbours? School drop-off My children go to breakfast club so this doesn't impact on me however many working mothers take their children to school first, and then go to work. Restricting the parking means that this will take longer and they will get to work even later. This may even impact on their ability to find work in the first place. While, yes, father's do the drop off, you only need to look at the playground to see that the lion share of school drop-off responsibilities fall to the female. I'd argue this change actually discriminates against working mothers and puts them at an even bigger disadvantage. As a working mother, take it from me, we have enough on our plates! Bottle neck: These proposed yellow lines are surely just going to create parking issues further along the estate. Ultimately, I brought a house outside a school. I know the school was here when I bought it. The road gets busy with traffic for around 15 minutes twice a day. This is a very minor inconvenience. The proposal seems unnecessary and has the potential to create a lot of unnecessary tension and upset. I propose you either abandon or at least modify these plans.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I'd like to constructively address each statement of reason, plus additional comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I live directly in suggested areas for changes. The danger to persons or traffic would increase, because there would be a 'clear run' for drivers to go faster, if all cars are forced to park on one side. There would also be a also be a very high and dangerous risk to residents, who are pulling out of their own drives, due to badly restricted vision from closely parked cars, when passing cars are able to go faster in the newly clear part of the road with parking restrictions.</li> <li>2. The passage on the road is currently fully and sufficiently facilitated for even larger vehicles. Particularly on the area AG 55 of the proposal. I live here and see large lorries and buses pass through regularly.</li> <li>3. The requirements of the highway code are currently fully implemented. I live here and can see</li> </ol>

		that there would be more congestion caused by the restricted waiting/parking, than there is currently. 4. We live in a harmonious neighbourhood. Any restriction to parking would create tension and unwanted friction between neighbours, who would be jostling for limited parking spaces. 5. Force residents to have 'Hard Standing' instead of greenery in their front gardens, some of whom cannot afford to have such work carried out. = Bad for water table – Rain will not soak into the ground. Will the drains cope with extra volume? 6. Less greenery = Bad for environment. 7. Will lower local property prices for all reasons above.
Yes	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I feel this is a necessary step to improve safety as the parking around the cedar park school has been getting progressively worse over the last several years. The parking for Widmer end school is spreading weekly and now meets the Cedar park school cars. The junction of brimmers hill and Cedar Avenue is severely compromised by parked cars on many occasions. My only concerns are local residents being able to park as the few places parking is still allowed will be subject to parents arriving even earlier than they do now for drop off and pick-ups from school. I feel the swimming lessons after school are also a major problem and perhaps the school should be forced to provide parking on site if they wish to continue hiring out the pool for lessons? And if these restrictions do come into force I hope they are properly enforced.
No	AG 54 - Cedar Avenue with Roberts Ride	Putting parking restrictions on this junction will move the parking to Mary's mead and other roads
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	We all understand that things could be improved but this is not the answer. This creates further problems and unnecessary chaos for all the parents and local residents on the cedar park estate. I have family on the estate and a child at the school and it will make visiting both a real problem.
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)  Yes – Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride, Shepherds Lane & Fox Field	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<b>Cedar Avenue</b> - People need to park somewhere and this will just push bad parking on to surrounding roads <b>Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride, Shepherds Lane &amp; Fox Field</b> – No Comments
Yes	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	Very pleased as so dangerous crossing the road during school times and also difficult getting in and out of my drive due to double parking etc.
No Feedback was left in this response	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Fox Field	No Comments
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox	My reasons for not being in support of the proposals are as follows - They will bring insufficient parking space for the residents, carers and visitors of the

	Field & The Warren)	homeowners at the affected areas of Cedar Ave. I fully support some sort of restrictions and agree that safety to the residents, staff and pupils of the school is at the highest importance, however do not think that the residents should be penalised for this. We are a three vehicle family, and have space for one car in our driveway - When we can, we place an extra car in the shared portion of the driveway however this still leaves one which would need to be parked elsewhere. As I understand it, the safety issues occur at school collection/drop off peak times - In which case, why not control and restrict those peak times by introducing a residents only parking permit for that portion of the road, thus controlling the issue? I knew, buying a house near the school would be busy at peak times, and to be clear I have never been opposed to this as I was aware of that - I agree that restrictions need to be made as persons dropping off are both being selfish by blocking driveways as well as making the roads impassable at times causing safety issues for adults and children.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	This would cause extreme disruption to residents who cannot park on their drives and also to parents of both Cedar park and widmer end school. Parking is needed throughout the day for both and by making it easier to park rather than harder will solve the current issues.
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I strongly disagree with the proposed plan for double yellow lines on the Cedar estate. The houses were built in the 1960 when parking was not an issue, several houses have now converted their front lawn to provide additional parking. By introducing parking restrictions, this will result in dangerous parking (In the XX years I have lived on the estate I am unaware of any accident) where residents, visitors, and parents fight for the few available spaces. Residents (and visitors) should have the right to park outside their own property.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The proposed waiting restrictions would push parking into neighbouring streets as parents still have to collect kids from school. Parking really isn't a problem at the site unless you have people who park badly or inconsiderately. An occasional warden visit would help. And would it ever be enforced anyway? The yellow lines at Park Parade are ignored all the time because there are never any warden/police visits. This will be the same - a waste of yellow paint.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	No waiting restrictions between 0800 to 1700 hours Monday to Friday should apply to all areas indicated on Cedar Avenue, including the areas outside the properties on XXX to XXX inclusive.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	currently road users are using cedar avenue as some form of cut through and at peak times I personally have observed speeding in excess of legal limit, by removing parking this speeding will increase and cause danger to pedestrians including children, furthermore the parking

		restrictions will result in parking issues for visitors of residents, noting most driveways are shared and not appropriate for multiple household usage.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	This would create enormous problems for residents and their visitors. I understand the need to make the road safer around schools, but could this not be done by restricting parking on 1 side of the road during school start and close times on a smaller section of the road on the bend opposite the school entrance
No - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren) & Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane & Fox Field  Yes – Cedar Avenue with Upper Lodge Lane & Brimmers Hill & Cedar Avenue with Roberts Ride	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<b>Cedar Avenue, Shepherds Lane &amp; Fox Field</b> - I feel that it would be better to have a time restriction on this so not to penalise local residents. Parking at evenings and weekends is always difficult and will just move the problem onto other streets and make other places worse. If its main aim is for school safety then have time restrictions instead would be better. This would cause parking hell in the estate. Smaller roads would become in passable like copes shovels and with many residents not having space to park on their property it is unfair on all that live in the estate. <b>Upper Lodge Lane &amp; Roberts Ride</b> - I feel that it would be better to have a time restriction on this so not to penalise local residents. Maybe 8-10am and 2-5pm.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The number of cars parked on the road has likely already reached its peak. Although a little inconvenient at times, in my opinion it does not present a significant risk or danger, indeed I do not know of any accidents caused by on-road parking (having lived here XX years), and certainly the situation does not warrant such drastic measures to be imposed. Residents have coped well so far with the increase of cars parked on the roadway, I see no reason why that would not continue, and I do not see the number of parked cars increasing further, except in the case of school pick-ups, which I will come to later. By implementing no-waiting restrictions for 24 hrs however, you would be seriously inconveniencing the majority of residents by preventing visitors from parking and deliveries to be made etc. Furthermore, those who currently need to park their vehicle on the road will be forced to consider the further tarmacing over of gardens, something which not only spoils the appearance of our road and village but also increases the danger of drainage problems and flooding, particularly as we are on a road with hills and dips. There is indeed a growing problem with parents parking to drop-off and collect children from Cedar Park School, and I suspect this is the root cause for these double yellow line proposals. Despite timed no-waiting restrictions already in place at the entrance, these are not enforced and are continuously floated. I suggest that money would be much better spent looking at how the current measures could be firmly enforced, such as recording the registration numbers of those who choose to ignore the rules and taking bold action against the perpetrators such as

		<p>fines. Maybe these parents could do with a few lessons in how to observe the Highway Code, and how to park and manoeuvre safely with due care and attention. That would certainly help matters given some of what I've witnessed around 3.15pm on a school day. Implementing the proposed double yellow lines will not stop these parents coming; it will only push them further down the road creating more problems. In the media there have been reports regarding other areas in Britain, where parents faced with parking restrictions outside schools even take it upon themselves to park in resident's own private driveways while dropping off children. Please do not encourage that behaviour in our neighbourhood. Please do not inconvenience the local residents for the sake of bad management at a school entrance.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	No Comments
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	Problem is only at School opening and closing, Double Yellow lines would only move the problem further down the road. No need to be outside of these times. Residents have to park outside their homes
Yes	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	I am worried about where we are going to park outside our own house as these restrictions further up the road will move cars further down cedar avenue to where we live. The road is already very full with resident's cars now. I agree with restrictions at school times as this has become very dangerous but what about residents.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	The proposed restrictions will impact on the residents of Cedar Avenue. While it is necessary to alleviate some of the traffic at certain times of the day it is not necessary to inflict the permanent restrictions on residents and their families. We live at the junction to Roberts Ride and understand that on occasion some very inconsiderate parking by parents dropping off and collecting from the two schools we live between can be cause for concern. But to impose a double yellow line for all times is totally ridiculous and will cause much frustration amongst the residents themselves and will only push the problem of the schools pickups and drop offs to be moved elsewhere. I understand that something has to be put in place, but could not a timed restriction rather than an at any time be placed? Residents on Cedar Avenue are considerate to their neighbours when parking along the road, so why should we be punished by a few inconsiderate parents during the school runs!?
Yes	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	I would like to see no parking/waiting outside XXX and XXX cedar Avenue which is opposite the junction to Shepherds Lane. There have been 3 accidents opposite this junction due to cars parking opposite this junction. It's also on the bend so the parking/waiting restrictions would create a safer area for all users.

No Feedback was left in this response	AG54 - Upper Lodge Lane with Cedar Avenue/ Brimmers Hill	No Comments
Yes	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	These restriction should not be at any time but time restricted to 08:00 to 10:00 and 14:00 to 16:00 Monday to Friday
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	We have a shared drive that cannot accommodate our two cars. This will stop us from being able to park outside our own house and will be a significant inconvenience for us. Where will we park??!
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	Although there is a minor inconvenience principally at school drop-off / pick-up with traffic, there are no significant issues. Having lived on the estate for a number of years I can rarely think of a time where I felt this kind of measure was required. Most of the parking, especially away from the school at drop-off / pick-up times, is by residents of the road and / or their visitors. Many of the residents are elderly and rely on this transport / visitors. The additional stress / inconvenience of these proposals, not to mention cost, seems completely disproportionate to any benefit. If anything, additional measures focused tightly around the school drop-off / pick-up hours would be best, perhaps more no parking areas on the bend of the road near the school.
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I have looked at the proposals and considered the plans/maps from the website. I live at a property on Cedar Avenue and would not want vehicles to deem it OK to park on the corner pavement or indeed permanently outside my property at the front on Cedar Avenue. As the restrictions are planned to be on the opposite side of the road to my property then I will be faced with other people's vehicles parking in front of my property on a permanent basis. This is just not acceptable.</p> <p>All property owners on my side of the road and opposite to the areas of the planned double yellow lines will be faced with the same problem of other people's cars ending up parked in front of their properties. This again is not acceptable.</p> <p>Surely all property owners should make their own provision for parking the vehicles they own. Shared drives, of course, create a big issue. Most properties in the area suffer from having shared drives which means that extra cars owned by properties end up on the road. Indeed they will now end up in front of properties on my side of Cedar Avenue and in front of my property which I have always tried to keep clear and tidy.</p> <p>As the proposal is for the lines to only go a certain distance down the road then the school parking will just move further down the roads (to the annoyance of other house owners!) Other roads will get congested – Maurice Mount, Copes Shroves, The Warren and Mary's Mead.</p> <p>It would, of course, be a good thing for 'Business'</p>

		<p>vehicles to be taken off the roads in certain areas. However, delivery vehicles will face a growing problem finding somewhere to pull up and I certainly do not want vehicles to start using the verges which I spend considerable time keeping in good order!</p> <p>Such a move will detract from the 'residential' nature and look of the area and possibly affect house prices. Finally I wonder how this will be 'policed'. What will be in place to ensure the restrictions are adhered to?</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>My reasons for not being in support of the proposals are as follows - They will bring insufficient parking space for the residents, carers and visitors of the homeowners at the affected areas of Cedar Ave. I fully support some sort of restrictions and agree that safety to the residents, staff and pupils of the school is at the highest importance, however do not think that the residents should be penalised for this. We are a three vehicle family, and have space for one car in our driveway - When we can, we place an extra car in the shared portion of the driveway however this still leaves one which would need to be parked elsewhere.</p> <p>As I understand it, the safety issues occur at school collection/drop off peak times - In which case, why not control and restrict those peak times by introducing a residents only parking permit for that portion of the road, thus controlling the issue?</p> <p>I knew, buying a house near the school would be busy at peak times, and to be clear I have never been opposed to this as I was aware of that - I agree that restrictions need to be made as persons dropping off are both being selfish by blocking driveways as well as making the roads impassable at times causing safety issues for adults and children.</p>
Yes	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane	<p>I live at a property near Shepherds Lane junction and I strongly feel that no parking or restrictions need to be applied opposite this junction outside both XXX and XXX as well as your planned parking restrictions within Shepherds Lane.</p> <p>There have been 3 accidents by this junction as parked cars are directly opposite the junction which creates a dangerous spot and has resulted in the accidents so due to the single lane created by park cars.</p> <p>There's also a bus stop at the side of the junction and with parking directly opposite and with parking opposite the junction this creates issues on the late stop when the bus visits.</p> <p>There could be parking restrictions opposite the bus stop to stop this at set times so that it's safe for traffic to flow through and the no parking opposite XXX and XXX would allow the junction to be a safer place.</p> <p>Most of the traffic parked in this area is school traffic with most traffic being in the morning from drop off time and then from 2.30pm to 6pm where the school runs after school activities which are every day and weekends.</p> <p>This area is also used by dog walkers as the fields</p>

		<p>opposite me are now charity owned and this also increases traffic in this area.</p> <p>Perhaps resident parking permits would help and time restrictions along the length of Cedar Avenue.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>The main points are (a) parents need to get kids to school. Not everyone has the luxury of time or closeness to walk them these days. Since most parents both work to be able to afford to live around here you are penalising working parents who contribute to society.</p> <p>B) This won't solve the problem since the same amount of traffic will still need to get to the school and it will therefore push the parking into other streets.</p> <p>C) Parking issue is not a big problem; I live here and often drive through with my car. The double parking is only for about 30 mins twice a day.</p> <p>D) Parking restrictions will only penalise the residents since we are here all day and won't be able to park outside our own homes.</p> <p>E) it will penalise residents at the weekend as family and friends won't be able to park outside our own homes.</p> <p>F) These are not main roads with a constant flow of traffic</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I have been resident here for nearly XXyrs and live close to Cedar Park School. So as you can imagine I am party to the many cars and drivers who regularly use our road to drop off their children and return to pick up again later, in the day as well as the schools out of hours business opportunities causing congestion.</p> <p>I will admit we often comment on how many cars are around and have had occasion to ask people to park more considerately or even move in the past. Leaving our driveway and negotiating Cedar Avenue of a morning and afternoon, takes care and consideration from all. But it is entirely liveable.</p> <p>This problem is not one that needs a solution as drastic as the proposed. To go from a newly (last year) tree lined Avenue, with many residents having only enough room for one car but already owning two, is instantly going to cause friction between neighbours each fighting for a space near to their house.</p> <p>Many residents have jobs that mean they are at home during the day, shift work etc. and we all happily manage to get on, only ever seeming to find any difficulty during school travel hours, which as I already mentioned, we deal with, we bought these premises knowing such activity went on. The school has been here a long time. Indeed had I known how busy the junction from Cedar Avenue onto the main Holmer Green Road was, that would have perhaps made me think twice about buying in Cedar Avenue, NOT the school traffic!</p> <p>Introducing lines or no parking down one side or both, means effectively punishing the residents of Cedar Avenue and those surrounding roads for which you</p>

		<p>also propose the same, for a problem not caused by them. Unfair to say the least.</p> <p>Also making visiting relatives or friends in those roads hard to do. Where for instance does someone park on a visit to a friend once those lines are in situ, if there is no space on driveways (already taken by the households car) then they would have to park and walk, not feasible for elderly or disabled relatives themselves! A personal problem, with an elderly mother, but one I consider, as do many of my neighbours who are elderly and have elderly friends! I have hard standing for two cars put in place by the previous owner of the property, but I would prefer to have a garden as many people do. Not only is this better for our environment/water table, water being able to be absorbed into the ground etc and better for our already diminishing wild life, but the cost involved in creating hard standing, cannot and should not be ignored. This will have to be paid for by the homeowner who 1. Does not want it otherwise, if they could have afforded it would have done it prior to this and 2. Possibly cannot afford it. Therefore extending a large cost and unnecessary upset to that household, again through no fault of that residents own!</p> <p>I fear that double yellow lines on one side or both will make Cedar Avenue (already a cut through) into a race track like road, with cars knowing that they will not have to slow for the parked cars, and people crossing as they do now. This is far more of a danger to a residential street than our current situation will ever be.</p> <p>Putting yellow lines on our road and those close to it, will only move those cars who consistently park at school run time to the next available "free" road or space, therefore shifting the problem not removing it at all.</p> <p>Please do understand that this is a busy road at school run times ONLY. But this proposal is not the answer to the question of how to calm it and make it safer. What this does is instantly cause different problems and more over as I said; result in effectively punishing the residents for a problem which isn't caused by them! Unfair in the extreme.</p> <p>Maybe the school should look at using its driveway and land, widened to fit incoming and outgoing school traffic? I believe there is room, having had two children attend that school myself. A drop off and pick up system? Where the cars drive into the school premises drive round and only stop to let the children out of the car on its premises, continue back up the drive and leave. Also on days where the school has external business's in, use its playground for parking as other local schools do, such as Holmer Green senior school. Therefore taking some of those extra vehicles off the road? Not rocket science and eminently more feasible than the alternative.</p> <p>I, as I'm sure were many residents of our street and the</p>
--	--	--

		<p>surrounding areas, shocked at this sudden decision and at what appears to be the lack of communication with residents beforehand!?</p> <p>Those people who live on this road do not want this (or most of them), and as well as the reasons I have stated previously, I also fear that this would devalue our house prices instantly. By taking away the ability to park freely if needed outside or nearby our own property. There needs to be another solution, with the school taking more responsibility as suggested. Or for the current situation to be managed in a more efficient way.</p> <p>I hope you hear the residents of these roads and understand that this proposal is NOT the solution.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>With my little children going to both Cedar Park and Widmer End School, it is unavoidable for us to use the car for school runs every day since we live on the other side of Hazlemere crossroad and it is too dangerous for young children to walk along with traffic on Holmer Green Road. We may not be living in the immediate neighbourhood of Cedar Estate, but we consider ourselves as the members of it for we are the proud members of its community schools. As a parent, I pay a lot of attention on the safety of school environment and absolutely agree that all the street should be clear for the entry of emergency vehicles. But I argue double yellow lines are not the best solutions and I would like to propose the alternatives for the benefit of all the community members.</p> <p>First, mark 'white bay lines' on the pavements on both sides of the streets near the schools accompanied by 'parking in marked areas' signs. This will be able to create enough space for the emergency vehicles to drive through and also provide some convenience to the school members during approx. 15 minutes, twice a day school runs. I would like to stress all the streets mentioned in the original proposal have much wider than average pavements. It is almost impossible for partial pavement parking to cause any obstruction to pedestrians. This will also encourage the residents to remove the obstacles on grass verges that have almost caused accidents to me and my children twice. I have the experience of slamming on the break to avoid a big log in the middle of Cedar Avenue that rolled off grass verge for unknown reason. My child had also been tripped over by the rock on grass verge while crossing the street.</p> <p>Second, if the community sincerely care for the safety of children and appreciate perhaps the materialistic value that these two ofsted-rated-good schools add to itself, spending money on a zebra crossing with pedestrian lights in front of the school makes so much more sense than double yellow lines. I am certain none of the residents or school parents would like to see traffic piled up near school twice a day in order to let children in and out of vehicles due to lack of parking. This may even prolong school runs and deter school</p>

		<p>hours.</p> <p>Double yellow lines are to divide the community for the imaginary interest of minority. I would like the council seriously consider my proposals for greater benefit of Hazlemere.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>We object to the proposals on the grounds that the parking problem in Cedar Avenue is happening only at school opening and closing times not at any other times! So the proposals to install double yellow lines at our side of the road are draconian in the extreme!</p> <p>We and many of our neighbours will be greatly inconvenienced as there will be nowhere to park for visitors, trades people, delivery drivers etc.</p> <p>A number of our neighbours do not have enough space on their driveways for all of their cars &amp; rely on being able to park in the road. The problem is exacerbated by the fact that the majority of present day cars will not fit into the no longer fit for purpose 1960s garages. Last Saturday evening we counted 15 residents cars parked between Cedar Park School &amp; Fox Field. None were causing an obstruction. Where will they park their cars if the double yellow lines restrictions are in use? This could sadly result in neighbourhood disputes over people parking on the even numbered sides of the road.</p> <p>On a personal level we don't have enough room, so our visitors will have to drive around looking for a place to park, some of whom are elderly.</p> <p>Surely the most sensible solution would be to introduce limited no parking for example between 8-15pm to 9-15am &amp; 3pm to 5pm Monday to Friday. This is the only time of day when there is a parking problem here, caused by parents dropping off and picking up their children from Cedar Park School.</p> <p>Finally, we feel that the proposed yellow lines will reduce the value of our home, as we would certainly not purchase a property with parking restrictions outside of our front door!</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I can understand that there are problems in the Cedars School area, but I have lived at Roberts Ride, for nearly XX years. People do park outside my house for school, but there have never been any problems. I have a very short drive, with only enough space for one car, as have many residents, and need parking space for three cars, as my two grandsons live with me, and that is apart from visitors. People will have to park somewhere, and the rest of the estate will just get more congested.</p> <p>It seems completely unnecessary to install double yellow lines with "No Waiting At Any Time" restrictions in what is a residential area, when the problems do not arise outside of school opening and closing hours.</p> <p>Could there not be restrictions at the school area within time frames that would be appropriate to school hours, and, if it is considered absolutely necessary to have double yellow line restrictions, have them on the sides of the roads where the houses have long drives,</p>

		<p>not short or shared drives, and for limited hours. As I said previously, it seems completely unnecessary to have such severe restrictions in what is a lovely residential area, purely because of parking problems during the opening and closing hours of Cedars School. Could there not be a dropping off point, and arrangements made for the school to open a little earlier for students to go in earlier when dropped, with a possible charge for parents who wish to use that facility, because they need to get to work. Also, would it not be possible to use more of the school parking space in some way? Possibly as a dropping off point. Has this proposal been suggested by someone who objects to people parking outside their house, on what is, after all, a public road? Cars have always parked outside my house morning and afternoon, at drop-off and pick-up times for the school, a school that my two daughters went to 40 years ago, and there have never been any problems. Repeating myself again, it is a public road, and people do not park on both sides, so I cannot see the need for such extreme measures to be taken. Why the suggestion for "No Waiting At Any Time" restrictions, when, outside of school drop-off and pick-up times, there is plenty of parking space available.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>We are objecting most strongly to the above proposal. We live right by the school at a property on Cedar Avenue &amp; we have no problem with the school run times, people do drop &amp; collect their children as would be expected. We realise this is always the case when you buy a house near a school. They always park outside our house, but are always considerate and It is only for a very short period of time each day and if course only term times. We as residents, or our visitors or deliveries etc. need to be able to park outside our own homes. We live in an avenue, a lovely road. It would create huge problems for everyone if the proposal goes ahead. Whoever anyway would police this system in all these roads you are suggesting &amp; where do you propose that all these residents park? We are fortunate to have our own drive, but the majority in Cedar Avenue have shared drives. It would be completely impractical to have double yellow lines. Equally yellow lines only in one side would create mayhem. This is a lovely area, a community; everyone is considerate on the whole. We are not an inner city. We are a village community. If the bus is a problem (there is never more than 2 people in it at the 8am run) surely it's either possible to move the stop (it is right on the junction of Shepherds Lane, how was it ever placed there in the 1st place?! Plus the bus just pulls up there and stops &amp; waits for about 15 minutes each morning, creating a hindrance), or do away with the stop completely as the bus needn't come along Cedar Avenue at all, the stop on the road</p>

		<p>at the top exit by Lower lodge lane is only a very short way away.</p> <p>Surely that is more practical than yellow lines down both sides of a lovely avenue.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I don't support the proposals. The double yellow lines on cedar Avenue will cause a great deal of inconvenience at all hours of the day for residents, school visitors, parents taking children to school. There are often tradespeople visiting residents too.</p> <p>If the aim is to improve safety I think it would be better to use traffic calming and I would strongly encourage two crossing points where Cedar avenue can be safely crossed.</p> <p>Take time to fine people who are illegally parked e.g. on bends and I'm sure you will see a change in poor habits.</p> <p>The fact that many of the driveways are shared exacerbates the problem for residents.</p> <p>The proposals will make it harder for working parents to fit the school run in as well before work. Sometimes getting very young children up and dressed and fed and feeling ready and happy before school can be a challenge, especially if they are tired. Maybe that extra 20 minutes saved by parking close to the school is a useful option to have. With doubles yellows there isn't the choice.</p> <p>I work part time and I walk, I don't park by the school, but there are times at the end of the day when I give a little bit more at work and go that extra mile even if it takes 15 minutes of my time. That's the sort of day where a parent may just need to park pretty close to the school to save driving home then walking back up to avoid collecting their child late from their busy stressed under pressure teacher.</p> <p>I see window cleaners, builders, plumbers, during the day too. Family and friends visiting at weekends.</p> <p>I think the proposals need to be re thought.</p>
Comment	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I have no concerns regarding these proposals, they are necessary to control displacement parking from the nearby shopping area which inhibits resident's access. There are however concerns regarding proposed restrictions in Cedar Avenue, caused by the school. I agree that this is a very significant and growing problem for residents, however there are substantial resident concerns regarding displacement parking and damage to verges etc.</p> <p>Can you please assure me that appropriate measures will be in place to prevent any undesirable consequences.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I live at a property on Cedar Avenue. I am rather perplexed at the proposal to continue having unrestricted parking on this side of the road when it is the most dangerous part of Cedar Avenue. Cars come around this bend well over the 30 mph limit in fact speeding on this estate happens all the time. Trying to get out of my drive is hazardous due to the</p>

		<p>multitude of higher sided vehicles and very restricted vision by parked cars.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that I have also received an email from The Thames Valley Police dated 12/1/19 253760 regarding school parking in which it says:</p> <p>Do not park on bends Do not park on the road opposite school gates.</p> <p>By allowing parking on this side of these rules are being ignored.</p> <p>Up until a last year this bend was policed and people were told not to park opposite the school, has the law changed?</p> <p>May I suggest that you consider time restricted parking on this bend.</p>
Yes	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane	<p>At the moment during school times the parking is to say the least dangerous. The corner of Shepherds Lane has cars parked each side of the road and it is impossible to walk pass these cars without resorting to walking in the road to get round them. Another factor is that if as is usual cars are parked both sides of the Lane at the junction of Cedar then I doubt if a fire engine could get down the road in an emergency. We have also had the parents cars parked across the drives of residents and I have a dent in my garage door, (white is painted white) showing a dent and red paint. The builders working on builds at properties near Shepherds Lane have had to put bollards outside another nearby property because they could not get down the road and also the dust cart cannot negotiate the Lane with cars parked at the entrance.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I note that one of the reasons given is to reduce the probability of accidents. My view is that with the exception of when children are being delivered or collected from Widmer End Combined School and the Cedar Park School, these roads are no more dangerous than many other out of town residential estate roads in the county. Please provide the evidence of the accident rate on the roads noted above.</li> <li>2. The other reason given is to facilitate free movement of traffic. Again, with the exception of when children are being delivered or collected from Widmer End Combined School and the Cedar Park school, the parking restrictions as proposed are in my view draconian in the extreme and largely unsupportable.</li> <li>3. The proposal would encourage even more residents to convert their front gardens into hard standing car parking plots, creating a 'townscape' from an out of – town residential housing estate. This would be an example of poor environmental planning with increased rainwater runoff into the roadside gullies.</li> <li>4. Increase parking pressure on other nearby roads, especially Brimmers Hill which is an even busier (non housing estate) through road, linking Hazlemere and Widmer End to High Wycombe.</li> <li>5. Prevent the residents from enjoying overnight</li> </ol>

		<p>parking outside their own homes.  A more practical and appropriate solution would be</p> <p>6. All Junctions of Cedar Ave e.g. with Brimmers Hill, Roberts Ride, Shepherds Lane and fox Field. No waiting/parking on the junctions.</p> <p>7. Cedar Avenue from the junction with Brimmers Hill to the junction with Fox Field, no waiting restriction applied to one side only of the road between 8-00hr to 09-30 and 15-00 to 16-30hr Monday to Friday Inc. otherwise no restriction. In my opinion this should provide for adequate free flow of all traffic including essential services (e.g. refuse collection vehicles) and emergency services during the busiest times.</p> <p>8. All Junctions of Roberts Ride with roads off e.g. Copes Shrove, Maurice Mount The Warren, no waiting/parking on the junctions.</p> <p>9. Whole length of Roberts Ride except for the junctions, no waiting restriction applied to one side only of the road between 8-00hr to 09-30 and 15-00 to 16-30hr otherwise no restriction. In my opinion this should provide for adequate free flow of all traffic including essential services (e.g. refuse collection vehicles) and emergency services during the busiest times.</p> <p>10. Similar restrictions for one side only on Upper Lodge Lane, Shepherds Lane and Fox Field.</p> <p>11. Reducing the available parking capacity in the immediate vicinity around the schools in this way, I hope will encourage (those delivering/collecting children by car) more shared driving and greater use of 'walk-to-school crocodiles' with the attendant socio-economic benefits and improved health among the school population.</p> <p>I accept that this will not solve any perceived problems without regular enforcement action nor will it reduce displacement parking which makes a terrible mess of the grass verges perhaps someone else has some better ideas!</p> <p>If you would like further details please telephone me and I would be pleased to accompany you on a site visit before finalising the order.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>We understand that parking issues around the Cedar Park School entrance need to be addressed for the safety of the school children, other pedestrians and traffic. However we object to the proposed introduction of double yellow lines on Cedar Avenue.</p> <p>Our grounds for objecting are as follows:</p> <p>☒ To go immediately from no yellow line restrictions to putting in double yellow lines on a long section of residential road, in response to school parking issues, seems an extreme response. There has not been any discussion with local residents about this or the opportunity for us to make suggestions for dealing with the issue. We had not been made aware that an issue had been raised with the Parish Council or County Council. The school</p>

		<p>parking issues occur for approximately 20 minutes in the morning (08.30 – 08.50) and for a two to three hour period from close of school to end of post school day activities (15.00 – 18:00). These issues only occur Monday to Friday.</p> <p>It is unfair for residents to be affected 24 hours a day, 7 days a week by double yellow lines. The residents will constantly be adversely affected, whereas the school drivers will not have any consequences apart from having to park a little further away.</p> <p>☒ Current parking regulations are sufficient, but they are not being adhered to or enforced.</p> <p>For example, parking on school entrance markings (yellow zigzags), parking opposite or within 10m of a junction, parking on a bend (especially opposite the school entrance), blocking dropped kerbs, double parking which blocks the road, letting passengers (especially children) get out of the vehicle on the road side (not the kerb side), parking facing against the traffic flow, parking near a bus stop. (Ref. Highway Code).</p> <p>☒ The residents will have less space for parking, for themselves and visitors. Most of the houses on Cedar Avenue have shared drives which are for access only, not for parking, hence the need for parking on the road. The proposed restrictions will remove a significant amount of parking space for the people who live here. This is a residential estate.</p> <p>As the proposal stands between the school entrance and The Warren, the side of the road without double yellow lines has less space for roadside parking than the opposite side of the road (due to the presence of the Fox Field junction, driveways and dropped kerbs). A lot of residents and their visitors park on the side of the road that the double yellow lines are proposed for.</p> <p>☒ The proposal affects parts of the road that are unaffected by the school parking issues. On Cedar Avenue, the school traffic parking currently comes down to around the junction with Fox Field. However the proposed double yellow lines extend beyond this point and cover a section of the road that is not affected by the school traffic parking. Parking for school drop off and pick up will be pushed onto other nearby roads, such as Marys Mead &amp; Toms Turn (there is a footpath from Toms Turn to the school), further down Roberts Ride, further down Cedar Avenue, Copes Shroves and The Warren. The problem will not go away, it will just move elsewhere.</p> <p>☒ The presence of parked cars along Cedar Avenue already has a traffic calming effect which makes it safer. Having a road carriageway clear of parked vehicles would encourage certain drivers to drive at speed and without care along Cedar Avenue, posing a danger to the school children, pedestrians and traffic.</p> <p>☒ Should this proposal be implemented it will have a</p>
--	--	--

		<p>detrimental effect on our house prices and saleability. We certainly would not have considered buying our house here in Cedar Avenue if double yellow lines were present to the extent proposed, and it will deter buyers in the future.</p> <p>The consultation letter dated 18th January 2019 states that "Transport for Buckinghamshire has been commissioned by Hazlemere Parish Council and the Chepping Wye Valley Local Area Forum to introduce no waiting at any time (double yellow lines) in the Hazlemere Parish area." We have spoken to Hazlemere Parish Council and they have told us that they raised the issue of the school parking, but did not suggest or request the introduction of yellow lines.</p> <p>We presume that the school has ongoing communication with the parents about the parking issues. Could this be taken further, with more education about parking rules and possible consequences for them if they are not adhered to? We see on Twitter that some areas in Bucks. have Thames Valley Police school patrols (e.g. @TVP_ChiltSBucks - Chiltern &amp; South Bucks., @TVP_Wycombe - Wycombe Neighbourhood Policing Team &amp; Wycombe East Neighbourhood Policing Team) and they either advise or give Fixed Penalty Notices. Could the Hazlemere Neighbourhood Policing Team provide some school patrols and education for parents? A TVP Alert email was sent out on 20Jan2019 regarding school parking and it stated that the police will not tolerate dangerous parking. This email included a list of parking 'do not' rules.</p> <p>The parking restrictions introduced in the Rose Avenue area (Hazlemere), relating to Sir William Ramsay and Manor Farm schools, were "double yellow line junction protection and passing areas to improve visibility and for the safe passage of vehicles". Along Rose Avenue, in the vicinity of the schools, double yellow lines have been put in specific short sections at junctions (around both corners of the junction and opposite the junction) and opposite bus stops. This seems a more sensible approach, rather than long, continuous sections of double yellow lines in a residential road. We have been taking photographs from our upstairs windows, which have a bird's eye view of a long section of the area affected by the proposal. We can provide these if required. They show the road at different times of the day, at week-ends and on week days. We would be grateful if you would take into account our objections.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar	I do not believe that this road is dangerous and since I

	Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>have lived here for X years have not heard or seen any accidents. One of your reasons for these measures was for safety. Please provide evidence of previous accidents that have occurred on these roads.</p> <p>Free movement of traffic. During school drop off and pick up to cedar park school there is a higher number of cars in the area but this is only in the morning and late afternoon. Therefore parking restrictions would only need to be at these times. To have parking restrictions at all times would be extreme to say the least.</p> <p>This would mean that residents would not be able to park outside their house and therefore would park in other areas thus creating problems elsewhere. Also everyone would concrete their front gardens losing a village feel to the neighbourhood.</p> <p>In my opinion a better solution would be to have parking restrictions on one side of the road only and only at times of school drop off and pick up during week days only. This would allow services and refuse collection free movement.</p> <p>Please consider my opinion and reasons for not wanting restrictions at all times. Restrictions some of the time between 8-9:30am and 3- 4:30pm would be in my opinion a better solution.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I represent the ward and am in receipt of significant public concern regarding these restrictions. I fully appreciate the ever increasing problem with school parking and its growing cause of congestion. However my electorate have expressed serious worries regarding the undesirable impact of the proposed restrictions, especially regarding displacement parking, damage to verges and residents increasingly concreting front gardens for parking. You give no indication of how the displaced parking will be accommodated and the risk of harm to this pleasant estate.</p> <p>You may also be aware that there are plans to increase the capacity of the school for planned development in the locality and yet there seem to be no controls on ever-increasing and damaging school run traffic, nor the provision of new schools where major development is planned.</p> <p>I need to assure my electorate that they will still be able to park on their road space and that the pleasant green character of this estate is not further eroded. Therefore I formally object to the provision of parking restrictions on the above roads and ask that you find a less drastic solution.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I too am a District Councillor for Hazlemere North and I totally agree with Cllr McCarthy's comments. These new restrictions are completely unrealistic. As you know the school is very large therefore generates considerable traffic first thing in the morning and after school.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to	<p>We wish to register our objections to the proposal to impose a blanket waiting restriction in specified areas</p>

	<p>mid-point between Fox Field &amp; The Warren)</p>	<p>of Cedar Avenue, Hazlemere. Our view is that the reasons set out by the Parish Council for these restrictions are insufficiently detailed to warrant such a punitive measure being imposed on the householders who will be adversely affected. It will also have consequences which the council may not have foreseen.</p> <p>Please find our objections set out below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the measure is being imposed to curb parking on both sides of the road – then we object to the fact that the properties in our area are to suffer a blanket parking restriction while other areas of Cedar Avenue where such parking is also prevalent will remain unaffected. This also applies to other roads in Hazlemere e.g. Eastern Dene which is a much busier thoroughfare.</li> <li>• It is widely assumed on Cedar Avenue that these measures are being imposed because of negligent parking on the part of parents dropping/picking up children from Cedar Park School – if this is the case we object to the fact that it is local residents that will suffer far more than the parents who choose to bring their cars into the Avenue and park haphazardly. Surely a restriction between 08.15 – 09.15 &amp; 14.45 – 15.45, though not ideal, is a much more proportionate response to this problem - a device used in many other areas of the county.</li> <li>• We park our car on the public road outside our house because:       <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the houses on this part of Cedar Avenue have shared driveways and in a spirit of neighbourliness, we do not park on the driveway risking blocking in our neighbours</li> <li>2. the garages on Cedar Avenue are challenging to use – only the smallest vehicle can safely manoeuvre into them.</li> </ol> <p>Under this proposal we will need to park elsewhere – as will any visitors we have.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We believe the Council’s proposals will inadvertently damage the spirit of neighbourliness which exists on Cedar Avenue. People may be forced to park on shared driveways despite their neighbours wishes and there will be a rush for parking spaces on the unrestricted side of the road. If there are not enough spaces for the vehicles – they will be parked in other places which will transfer the ‘nuisance’ to another area.</li> <li>• It will also affect the environment on Cedar Avenue. The measure will force people to consider concreting over their lawns and gardens – and in our case uproot a tree – in order to create a hard-standing for off-the-road parking. Some people have done this already and will not be affected by this measure – we believe green spaces should be preserved</li> <li>• And the other threat to the environment on the estate, are the painted double yellow lines and restriction poles and plates that will result. It will look completely out of place on a residential road like Cedar</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	--	---

		<p>Avenue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the Parish Council considered the effect on house prices of those properties which are affected? This would be yet another burden on the householders you have chosen to target.</li> </ul> <p>We are trying to understand why a body which claims to represent our interests is proposing a blanket ban on 'waiting' which will severely inconvenience the householders concerned, jeopardise relationships and damage the environment on Cedar Avenue. The reasons for imposing this measure, according to the documents you have provided, are not sufficient to justify the inconvenience and hardship it will create. Other alternatives should be considered.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>We have lived at a property on Cedar Avenue since XXXX and am against the proposed parking restrictions as currently proposed. Our property is situated on the South-side (school-side) of the road, about XX meters from Cedar Park School gate, so the proposals will have a direct and detrimental effect on us.</p> <p>Currently, three adults live at our property and all are car owners. Fortunately, one car is small enough to fit in the garage, the second is parked on the drive in-front of the house but, as we have a shared drive and this must be kept clear, the third can only be parked on the roadside. Some of our neighbours are in a similar situation, so clearly residents and their visitors would be forced to park on the unrestricted side of the road. Parking space would quickly be taken and so preventing safe stopping or parking at school times. The inevitable consequence of this will be that school traffic will stop/park illegally on the restricted side, thereby negating any possible benefit during these periods and resulting in possible conflicts between residents and school users. We also very much doubt that long-term funding will be available to 'police' any restrictions, so it will only be residents (on both sides of the road) who will be inconvenienced.</p> <p>Even if illegal parent parking does not take place, a certain consequence of the proposed restrictions will be traffic speeding along Cedar Avenue between the junction at Brimmers Hill and The Warren. Currently, congestion during school drop-off/pick-up times does at least naturally slow the traffic. Cedar Avenue is a well-known short-cut to the shops at the bottom of Cedar Avenue and, at busy times, for through traffic to and from Hazlemere roundabout. At weekends, when fewer cars are parked on the roadside, speeding is a common occurrence through this section. The expected additional available road space envisaged will encourage even more through traffic, which will be travelling much faster and so create a very dangerous situation for parents and children trying to cross the road near or opposite the school gate. As can be seen from the consultation plans, the school entrance is situated on a bend and crossing the road to enter the school at this point is extremely dangerous as it is a</p>

		<p>blind bend. The combination of a restricted view caused by parked cars and an increase to traffic speed will, in our view, inevitably result in an increase in the possibility of a collision between a vehicle and those accessing the school.</p> <p>There is, however, little doubt that the morning and afternoon school traffic does create congestion which can be hazardous to pedestrians, residents and other road users. Double-parking during this period creates a tunnel effect which can make navigating this section of the road quite difficult. Unfortunately, there is little evidence that the school has made any effort to find a solution to inconsiderate parking and, indeed, school employees regularly park on this section of Cedar Avenue.</p> <p>The proposal allows parking only on the opposite side of the road to Cedar Park School. If passed, it will result in those children that are being driven to school having to cross a busy and dangerous road (reasons explained above). Cedar Park caters for children from nursery to primary school age, so those attending are very young and cannot be relied upon to always cross the road in accordance with the Highway Code! Indeed, parents often also have younger siblings to contend with, and so forcing them all to cross the road seems particularly illogical. Surely, any parking restrictions should be tailored so that all children safely exit the car on the same side as the school?</p> <p>To alleviate the parking problems, we consider a case could be made for targeted restrictions on the opposite side to the school from, say, Monday to Friday between 0800-0930hrs and 1500-1730hrs in order to stop double-parking during these busy periods. This would improve both safety for all road users and access for emergency vehicles. In addition, it would allow all residents of Cedar Avenue to have the same parking availability outside of the problem school periods. It would also require a 20mph speed limit zone to be introduced between Brimmers Hill and at least Fox Field (why is it not so already?)</p> <p>In summary, we are firmly against the proposal as published as, for the reasons mentioned above, we believe that unintended consequences will result in a more dangerous road for not just school children, but all users.</p>
No	AG 54 - Cedar Avenue with Roberts Ride	<p>Whilst I support the need to review and put into place guidelines to improve safety on our roads, I believe that these guidelines also need to take into consideration the needs of the existing residents and visitors to the residential properties. In the current form, these restrictions appear to be penalizing residents in restricting parking due to non-residents attending shops, school and doctors.</p> <p>Current Parking</p> <p>The houses in Robert Ride &amp; Cedar Avenue were predominantly built in the 1960s. Many of the cars manufactured today do not fit in the garages that come</p>

		<p>with the properties. Also, there is no room to increase the size of the garages.</p> <p>Additionally, some of the houses have shared drives that are narrow and can only accommodate one car width.</p> <p>Therefore, these factors limit the number of cars that can be parked in the drive. Because of this, some residents have turned their front garden into a parking area, but in many instances, there are still more cars than parking places on the properties. Environmentally it is not a good option for all properties to remove trees etc.... in order to park cars.</p> <p>It would mean that neighbours would have to park in the drive and block in each other's cars. Cars would need to be moved to let other cars out. This in turn creates other driving hazards, both on the road and on the pavements when moving cars in and out of drives, sometimes where visibility is not so good – i.e. on a bend or near a junction.</p> <p>Often during the day, there is a large turnover of non-residents parking in Roberts Ride, Cedar Avenue, the Link and Lower Lodge who are going to the shops, school and doctors. It is some of these cars that block drives and park inconsiderately. Therefore I would suggest limiting non-resident parking, which would make the roads a lot less hazardous on the estate.</p> <p>Personal Situation</p> <p>I live at a property on Roberts Ride. From what I can see in the plans the double yellow lines impact my neighbour at another property on Roberts Ride and end at our shared drive. This would cause an issue for us for the reasons detailed above. Additionally my neighbour's son has mobility issues, which I am sure they have/will detail to you. Therefore, I request that the double yellow lines stop a little shorter than your current plans to enable one car parking space outside both of our properties on Roberts Ride.</p> <p>General Situation</p> <p>Double yellow lines / no waiting at any time restrictions may not impact on house prices. However, it is very likely that it will negatively impact on the saleability of properties where parking is an issue. How does the council intend to assist current residents in selling properties?</p> <p>Instead of the proposed parking restrictions, I am aware that other counties issue residents with parking permits for them and a visitor (tradesman etc.). It should also be recognised that some visitors may well have mobility issues which necessitate parking close to the property they are visiting. There would be a need to monitor parking permits, the same as double yellow lines, but at least it will not impact residents. I have witnessed this arrangement working in the area around Rayners Lane in Pinner, Middx with great effect.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	While I understand the possible need for some form of parking restrictions in the proposed areas, I feel that the proposals are excessive and do not reflect the

		<p>general problem in the area.</p> <p>I have lived in Cedar Avenue since XXXX and there are two schools near to my house, Widmer End primary and The Cedars primary schools. In the past when pupils were assigned to their local school via their catchment area, most parents walked their children to school but when</p> <p>Deregulation of catchment areas was allowed and parents had the choice of which school to send their young children to, some parents travel up to 5 miles to bring their offspring to one of the two schools listed previously. This has led to a great increase of cars in the area which can cause parking problems, but it is limited to between 8.30 – 9.30 each morning and 2.30 – 4.00 each afternoon. At all other times of the day, traffic flows normally along Cedar Avenue. Similar parking on Brimmers Hill at these times does also cause minor obstructions but none any worse than in many other areas of Buckinghamshire where schools are situated.</p> <p>Also recently, it would appear, that Cedar Avenue has been designated a bus route for some reason, although no consultation on this service was entered into and I do not know when or why the service was introduced. The bus appears twice a day at 7.00 and 8.00 Monday to Friday during school times but on every occasion I have seen the bus, there are no passengers on it. Also there are no assigned bus stops on Cedar Avenue should anyone wish to use it, so it would seem that this service is totally unnecessary.</p> <p>The provision of permanent double yellow lines along the lengths of road as indicated on maps reference Area Code AG 54 and 55 will seriously affect the residents of Cedar Avenue who generally adhere to parking regulations and do not stop the free flow of traffic.</p> <p>In other areas of the County where similar parking problems have occurred, time related restrictions on single yellow lines have been introduced, for example around Amersham and Little Chalfont railway stations. A similar system would be a far better solution with lines in the same areas as being proposed for double yellow lines with time restrictions of 8.30 – 9.30am and 2.30 – 4.00pm.</p> <p>I totally agree with the introduction of double yellow lines at the junctions of Cedar Ave./Upper Lodge Lane, Cedar Ave./ Roberts Ride, Cedar Ave./Shepherds Lane, and Cedar Ave./Fox Field as this coincides with Part 3 of your reasons, i.e. Enforcing the Highway Code with regard to parking on or near a junction.</p> <p>Another alternative which may be considered, if general parking by residents is thought to be causing the problem, would be to remove the grass verge between road and pavement and replace with a hardstanding strip which would possibly wide enough to park a whole car off the main carriageway or allow half on/ half off parking as seen along the Amersham</p>
--	--	---

		<p>Road at Totteridge. Originally, these grass verges were considered a nicety within a rural environment but with the increase of cars now owned by families, it could be removed to improve parking. Many areas have already been destroyed by cars using the area to park on and is now an eyesore when walking around the estate with the grass replaced with deep rutted mud. Also, the expense of having these areas cut by an outside contractor must be a burden on the Parish Council especially as the timings of the cutting is inconsistent and the results much below the standards expected. I understand that this proposal of replacing the grass with hardstanding would initially have a cost, but over a fairly short period there would be an overall saving to the Parish Council and this would be a permanent solution to any parking problems.</p> <p>I also refer to the proposals relating to The Link, running between Cedar Avenue and Western Dene as indicated on your plans reference Area Code AH55. The side of the road designated for the double yellow lines is actually the side of the road on which all parking takes place at the moment by visitors to the shops when the shop forecourt and the Co-Op parking areas are full. Access to the Co-Op car park is quite a tight turn and allowance is needed to swing into the up ramp. By causing cars to park on the side opposite the car park entrance, access difficulties to the Co-Op car park will be introduced and I feel more aggressive motoring will occur. Free flows of traffic along this short stretch of road is usually very good but if parking restrictions are to be considered, then these should be on the side of the road opposite to the Co-Op car park entrance. The junction restrictions as proposed are acceptable as the junctions are often blocked by inappropriate parking.</p> <p>One item which seems to have been overlooked is, if double yellow lines are introduced, who will enforce them? A far better affect and a more community spirited approach would be for a regular appearance by the local police area officer or a PCSO to educate those who regularly abuse good driving and parking standards. I can only feel that by introducing double yellow lines everywhere, it will appear as a money generating exercise, an intrusion into a peaceful existence of those who live here and forcing residents to convert their front gardens into parking areas which would then bring them into conflict with the local Planning Authority and not as a safety exercise as stated in Parts 1 and 2 of the reasons for the introduction as I am not aware of any accidents having occurred generally due to parking problems within the areas stated that would require the introduction of a double yellow line system.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I have read the proposal and reasons for the proposed parking restrictions and would like to respond. I have raised several questions which I look forward to receiving a response to. I have set out below a number

		<p>of concerns and considerations I would like to be taken into account when making the decision on this issue. I would be happy to expand upon any of the points I raise.</p> <p>1. I would like to have more details why these restrictions are thought to be necessary as I am unclear why this action is being considered.</p> <p>“For avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising.”</p> <p>Have there been any accidents or injury to persons along the proposed stretches which have prompted safety concerns? I have lived at a property on Cedar Avenue for over XX years and am not aware of any accidents or injuries caused by “parking” along the proposed restriction areas.</p> <p>“For facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians)”</p> <p>Similarly, I am not aware that access for any class of traffic (which should be reasonably be travelling on a residential road) has been restricted; the dustbin lorry is able to pass between rows of parked vehicles as I have followed it on many occasions and have been on coaches travelling to and from Cedar Park School (and The Park School before that) which have been able to pass. Indeed I have even observed local busses turning round in the end of Roberts Ride!! There seemed plenty of room for this manoeuvre!! Has there been restriction for emergency services, causing threat to lives and property?</p> <p>“For implementing requirements of the Highway Code”</p> <p>The Highway Code already states:</p> <p>Rule 243</p> <p>DO NOT stop or park:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• near a school entrance</li> <li>• anywhere you would prevent access for Emergency Services</li> <li>• at or near a bus or tram stop or taxi rank</li> <li>• on the approach to a level crossing/tramway crossing</li> <li>• opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction, except in an authorised parking space</li> <li>• near the brow of a hill or hump bridge</li> <li>• opposite a traffic island or (if this would cause an obstruction) another parked vehicle</li> <li>• where you would force other traffic to enter a tram lane</li> <li>• where the kerb has been lowered to help wheelchair users and powered mobility vehicles</li> <li>• in front of an entrance to a property</li> <li>• on a bend</li> <li>• where you would obstruct cyclists’ use of cycle facilities</li> </ul> <p>except when forced to do so by stationary traffic.</p> <p>The law already exists to deal with any infringements. IF the highlighted “rules” were implemented, there would be no need for additional parking restrictions.</p> <p>Transport for Buckinghamshire Team should</p>
--	--	---

		<p>implement the Highway Code, instead of penalising the residents. Would infringements of parking on double yellow lines be implemented? I fear not judging by the lack of implementation at Park Parade where drivers frequently commit an offence parking outside the Co-op and other businesses. Why aren't the current laws being enforced? Once people realise there is no implementation they ignore the rules anyway- wrong as this is- double yellow lines may not solve the perceived "problem".</p> <p>2. There is of course an increase in traffic and parking at times when parents are dropping children off at Cedar Park School. This was the case when I move here over 30 years ago and has not changed to suddenly, in my opinion, in more recent times. IF residents are complaining about parking at school times I suggest they should not have moved so close to a school. It is certainly busier between 8.30 and 9.10 in the morning and 2.50 and 3.30 in the afternoon but I do not believe this merits restricting residents 24hours a day for 7 days a week. IF restrictions are needed at these times then implement time restricted parking; say between 8.30 and 9.30 and 2.45 and 3.45 on schooldays only. Having surveyed the parking, there seems to be few cars at other times. I attach photographs showing the parking on one day at school collection time. Do you have evidence that Transport for Buckinghamshire have carried out similar research?</p> <p>3. One problem I do notice, at school drop off and pick up times and other times is parking on the grass verges and pavements (including the Royal Mail). Again I attach photos to evidence this. THIS seems to me to pose far greater "likelihood of any such danger arising" than parking on the road. Indeed if parking restrictions were introduced more reckless drivers would be likely to choose to park off the road on pavements and verges. I am uncertain if this is an offence in Bucks but perhaps it should be. When my children were younger, I was frequently forced to push a buggy on the road to pass by such parked vehicles. When children are leaving school, they should be able to feel confident that pavements and grass verges are safe areas to walk.</p> <p>Parking on the grass verges also destroys the grass and creates a mud zone which looks like a farmer has ploughed it! Look at the mess along Kingshill Road, outside the new housing development where Wellesbourne School used to be.</p> <p>4. IF crossing Cedar Avenue is a problem, then perhaps a zebra crossing would better serve pedestrians instead of parking restrictions.</p> <p>5. By extending parking restrictions this will merely defer the problem to areas outside the restricted zone. Parents will have to park further away- further down Cedar Avenue, further along Shepherds Lane, in Copes Shroves and further along Roberts Ride. Are we then going to require more double yellow lines?</p>
--	--	--

		<p>6. This is a residential area and double yellow lines will not serve residents well. It will have a negative effect on property prices, already depressed. There are other solutions IF the parking is really a problem, which I don't believe it is! Make the area a "permit parking" area, restrict parking at certain times as suggested earlier, allow some times at least when deliveries can be made or for so long e.g. parking for up to 1 hour only, IF parking across people's drives is one of the motivating issues (something I have never found to be a problem) then put lines across drives only to prevent parking, provide funding for Cedar Park School to create more on-site parking- they do have space, create a 20 mph speed limit along Cedar Avenue to slow traffic, have restricted width barriers at each end of Cedar Avenue to prevent over-sized traffic from entering or just put single double yellow lines at junctions and on corners.</p> <p>I would like to know what other options have been considered by Transport for Buckinghamshire. It does seem to me to me that they are using "a sledgehammer to crack a nut!" Residents are being penalised for bad parking of non-residents as Transport for Buckinghamshire is not prepared to implement existing legislation.</p> <p>7. Many residents have sufficient parking on their driveways for several cars, but, those who don't will be forced to concrete and pave over more of their gardens which is detrimental to the environment and can create surface run off drainage problems.</p> <p>To conclude, I do not believe there is enough evidence to impose parking restrictions to the residents of the sites suggested and that, IF there is a problem, there other solutions which should be given more consideration by Transport for Buckinghamshire.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I write in connection with the proposed measures being put forward to public consultation which are sections of no waiting at any time (double yellow lines) waiting restrictions on Cedar Avenue, Upper Lodge Lane, Roberts Ride, Shepherds Lane and Fox Field, Hazlemere. As a resident along Cedar Avenue for over 30 years, I have examined the plans and wish to strongly object to them.</p> <p>I have read your statement of reasons for the proposed changes (For avoiding danger to persons or other traffic, for facilitating the passage on the road for any class of traffic) and feel that the following points will show that these will have the opposite effect and in fact cause more serious problems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I believe it is for the council to provide evidence that the current format of the road layout is dangerous to persons or other traffic by providing statistics on the number of road collisions and fatalities reported along Cedar Avenue. Whilst living here I have not heard of any reported cases.</li> <li>• I am situated half way between the two local primary schools - Widmer End and Cedar Park School and feel</li> </ul>

		<p>that this road, which is used as a pick up point, is safe to do so. If as proposed you put these restrictions in place and parents are no longer able to safely pick up their children you will force them to park further away on alternative roads which will be busier with traffic and there will be more likelihood of serious incidents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If parking is limited to one side of the road this will leave one carriageway free for cars to speed creating a clear Rat run. As a council I am astounded that you would allow for a clear speeding trap past a Primary School endangering pedestrians.</li> <li>• I feel serious consideration needs to be made regarding the junction past Widmer End school onto Cedar Avenue. With the proposed restrictions cars are able to park just past the junction for Upper lodge Lane. If cars are fully parked along here due to limited parking elsewhere traffic is likely to back up onto the main road of Brimmers hill not only restricting passage on a main road but also potentially causing danger to pedestrians and other road users. At peak times a large number of cars turn onto Cedar Avenue from Brimmers Hill, if they then have to wait behind situated cars whilst cars pass in the opposite direction this will backup closer to the junction on Upper lodge Lane and potentially onto Brimmers Hill in peak traffic. This also contradicts your statement of reason, as this is clearly not a clear passage on the road.</li> </ul> <p>There are also negative Social implications that for the proposed restrictions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With limited to no residential parking along this road there will be friction between neighbours for the privilege to park in these limited spaces nowhere near their houses which they have been able to park outside since these houses have been built. As a side note why has parking been proposed on the side of the road where many of the houses have their own private drives whilst residents on the opposite side will be forced to find alternative parking as they have shared drives.</li> <li>• You will also force residents to consider concreting their front gardens to create off street parking which if I'm not mistaken has been highlighted in many news articles as bad for the environment as this has the potential to cause flash flooding.</li> </ul> <p>I feel strongly against the current proposals as they stand. I'm not against the reasons for the proposals however I don't feel this is the solution and amendments need to be made to take into account my objections and acknowledge my safety concerns. Please feel free to contact me directly to discuss these points in further detail and they should not be dismissed.</p>
No	AG 55 – Cedar Avenue with Shepherds Lane	<p>We are writing in order to raise our objections regarding the above. We are residents at a property on Shepherds Lane. Hazlemere.</p> <p>Having viewed the plans at Hazlemere Library our objections are as follows:</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Given Shepherds Lane is directly adjacent to the Cedars park School we will inevitably become the focus of unrestricted parking given double yellow lines are in place on Cedar Avenue, This in turn will lead to very difficult parking for the residents of Shepherds Lane given our cul-de-sac situation.</li> <li>• In turn, the above circumstance will inevitably lead to a situation whereby emergency services will not be able to access the road with 'normal resident parking.</li> <li>• As a direct follow on of the above refuse collection could be made almost impossible with unrestricted school parking for the same reason as indicated above; again difficult under 'normal' circumstances.</li> </ul> <p>We would like to suggest that, given the road parking restrictions go ahead, our only safeguard would involve Shepherds Lane being totally yellow lined with the proviso of permitted parking for residents only. Our general safety and wellbeing can only be guaranteed with this in place.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I do not agree with the proposal of double yellow lines down one side of Cedar Avenue for the following reasons:-</p> <p>The side of the road without yellow lines will be taken up by the parking of residents' cars from both sides of the road. This would mean at weekends it would not be possible for anyone to be collected from their houses by car as section 238 of the Highway Code states you "cannot wait, or stop to set down and pick up passengers". Elderly residents in this road would be unable to leave their homes. Residents would not be able to receive deliveries, visitors, family or tradesman at weekends because of lack of road parking.</p> <p>I propose upright signs stating no parking between 8-9am and 3-5pm on the time plates WEEKDAYS ONLY for the following reasons:-</p> <p>I have lived in this road for over XX years and the only traffic problems have been caused by school parking. To cover the after school clubs and swimming lessons the signs need to state no parking up to 5pm.</p> <p>I propose upright signs stating the same times as above on the time plates for the zig-zag lines at the school entrance and the bend immediately opposite the school for the following reasons:-</p> <p>Section 234 of the Highway Code states "do not stop or park near a school entrance" and "do not stop or park on a bend". Both these areas are ignored as "no parking" and it is therefore impossible to see around the bend for on-coming traffic when cars are parked here at school times.</p> <p>In conclusion, I totally agree that something needs to be done about the school parking in this road but double yellow lines down a village street is way too harsh, ridiculous and extremely unfair to the residents of Cedar Avenue. We should not be punished for the inconsiderate parking of school traffic. Even in Greater London residential areas near schools there are not</p>

		<p>necessarily double yellow lines but upright signs stating no parking between certain times. It would indeed be marvellous for us residents to be able to go out of our drives, turning the way of choice, at any time of the day and not have to wait for the school traffic to go because the cars park too closely to the edges of our drives. I feel certain that this would be the case with the signage I have suggested. Our garages built in 1968 are too small for the majority of cars these days. Not everyone will be able to afford, or want to, change their front gardens to hard standing to cope with the proposal of double yellow lines.</p> <p>I feel sure that other residents may have also suggested a time frame for no parking and I am happy to go along with others as to the morning time of no parking. So if the majority have suggested 8.30-9.30 for instance, then that is fine by me.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I was waiting until I had attended the latest LAF meeting last night as I was not sure where the consultation requests had come from. We consulted on these areas in the LAF several years ago when we did the original Rose Avenue consultation and the results were inconclusive with as many against as for so at that time they were dropped from taking them any further. I understand the Parish Council asked for these as some people had been asking for them. I have had several emails against the proposals and I haven't had any for. This includes comments from the District Councillors who in turn have had representations against.</p> <p>In view of the current comments and previous ones the last time this was consulted upon, I therefore cannot support these proposals.</p>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>I am confused why this Beaconsfield bus has to enter Cedar Avenue as this is a residential road. All other bus routes stop at Cosy Corner, Hazlemere and do not enter Cedar Avenue. Very few if any people in Cedar Ave use this bus or the residents of Cedar Park.</p> <p>Why does it have to be no waiting at any time, this will encourage traffic to cut through Cedar Avenue, to avoid congestion at the cross roads.</p> <p>I agree we need parking restrictions at junctions and school areas.</p> <p>We live on an estate; many houses have dual drives with more than one car. They have no choice but to pave over their gardens with the restricted parking rules.</p> <p>I can only see this will make it worse for the residents of Cedar Park, as cars will park elsewhere on the estate, cars will drive faster up or down Cedar Avenue, especially at the school area</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>We are extremely concerned about the proposed No waiting at any time restrictions in Cedar Avenue Hazlemere on the following grounds:</p> <p>Why should residents be punished for the</p>

		<p>inconsiderate &amp; dangerous parking of parents at school drop off &amp; pick up times as this is the only time there is a problem.</p> <p>Many residents have to park their vehicles on the road as the garages in Cedar Avenue are too small for modern day vehicles.</p> <p>The proposals could lead to neighbour disputes with residents competing with each other for spaces where there are no restrictions.</p> <p>Cedar Avenue will become a race track, as drivers will have a free run up &amp; down Cedar Avenue between Cedar Park School &amp; Fox Field.</p> <p>Due to lack of resources there is likely to be limited enforcement on drivers flouting the law. This is likely to cause resentment between residents &amp; drivers who park illegally.</p> <p>We urge the Cabinet Member for Transportation to take on board our views &amp; not to use a sledge hammer to crack a nut! E.g. limited No Parking times , or Permit Holders Only. We hope that you will be able to find a compromise.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I write to object in respect to the proposed order to introduce sections of "no waiting at any time" double yellow lines for the following reasons:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed no waiting area is excessive;</li> <li>• Restricted parking throughout the whole of the proposed area does not meet the objectives of avoiding danger or reducing congestion;</li> <li>• Parking on a steep hill nearby could be dangerous if a hand brake failed;</li> <li>• Parking availability has already been exacerbated by the introduction of additional services at Cedar Park school without taking into account of the effect on local residents and danger to other persons or the creation of parking at the school;</li> <li>• The implementation of the order will encourage more traffic in to the area to drive around looking for parking, in conflict with local Authority objective to reduce pollution.</li> <li>• Existing grass verges could be removed on both sides of the road to create parking improve the passage of traffic in line with the requirements of the Highway Code;</li> <li>• Restricting parking will significantly reduce availability already too short on street parking availability for residents, causing residents to have to walk further, an inconvenience especially to parents of young children and older persons</li> <li>• Parked vehicles act as a traffic calming measure.</li> <li>• Reduction in available parking will encourage people to park on pavements in front of drives and on grass verges just outside of proposed no waiting area</li> <li>• Further reduction in parking availability will prohibit residents parking near their homes, this has already been effected by the historic shared driveway arrangements, and may lead to damage/vandalism being caused to vehicles as them become susceptible</li> </ul>

		<p>to target by thieves.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The cost of dropping kerbs and the creation of off street parking adjoining family homes is prohibitive</li> <li>• Parking arrangements are managed perfectly well by local residents.</li> </ul>
No	AG54 & AG 55 - Cedar Avenue (Brimmers Hill to mid-point between Fox Field & The Warren)	<p>These proposals will greatly impact all residents, their visitors, tradespeople and anyone using the local amenities, such as dog walkers to the nearby fields. This will only cause more problems further down these roads as people will be jostling for a parking space. Anyone taking children to the Cedar Park School, either for school/nursery or swimming lessons (which are held during the day) will also be forced to park elsewhere and why should they?</p> <p>In the mornings school drop off traffic only lasts for about half an hour and maybe a bit longer in the afternoon or whenever the school has an event. Some do park inconsiderately but don't punish the majority. I have lived in Shepherds Lane for nearly 36 years and parking has never been much of an issue, only maybe slightly more since the Cedars School and the Park School merged onto one site over ten years ago.</p> <p>Also if parking is restricted, more residents will convert their front gardens for hard standing; this will not look attractive and will also have a detrimental effect on the environment.</p> <p>The only parking restrictions should be opposite the bus stop near Cedar Park School as people park there and make it difficult to see cars coming up Cedar Avenue which considering the amount of young children in the area could have serious consequences.</p>
No	AG 54 & AG 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I have serious concerns over these proposed restrictions. On Cedar Avenue, there is an extensive section with restrictions proposed on one side of the road only, round a bend. This will inevitably lead to the other side being completely parked up, with no space left for cars to pass safely. It will also lead to increases in traffic speeds.</p> <p>Far better would be to mix the restrictions on both sides, so as to allow passing places and keep vehicle speeds down.</p> <p>I know this area well, as I live round the corner. The opportunity could also be taken to introduce some Highway Code type measures at junctions in the area.</p>